# Sociology Rubric for Appendix H

### a) Is the candidate qualified?

CRITERIA		POSSIBLE SCORES	CANDIDATE'S SCORE	RATIONALE/COMMENTS			
a) Is the candidate qual	a) Is the candidate qualified?						
i. Does the candidate ha							
academic and/or profes	•	YES/NO					
qualifications as posted	?						
Appendix H Notes:	have the required academic and/or professional qualifications for the appointment elevant academic degree or certificate, education in the academic specialty, and or ressional training and experience?  Decify, in the posting for the appointment, the minimum degree necessary for the ecify the area or field for the required degree. Departments may also specify anal degrees or designations or specialized training (e.g., LLB, BEd, CA, language on thave the required academic and/or professional qualifications and experience						
	to teach the course as		•	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·			
Sociology Notes:	Candidates who do not have the required academic qualification shall not be considered.  Per the job posting, Sociology requires:  • Qualifications – Required: Master's Degree  • Qualifications – Discipline: Sociology or related discipline  Examples of related disciplines: Criminology (SY218, SY241, SY242, only); Social and Political Thought, Political Science, Human Geography.  Examples of Exclusions: Economics, Business, Natural Sciences.						
If No, then the PTAC is a	If No, then the PTAC is required to discontinue the evaluation.						
ii. Does the candidate had qualifications and exper course?							
Appendix H Notes:	In addition to the required qualifications, does the candidate have experience or credentials directly relevant to the course? This may include qualifications that were listed as "preferred" on the posting (e.g., academic specializations, experience in the field). The PTAC is not required to continue the evaluation if it is determined that the candidate does not have these further qualifications.						
Sociology Notes:	Per the job posting, Sociology requires:  • Qualifications – Other: Applicants must demonstrate professional training and/or experience relevant to the substantive content of the course. [Look at the position summary (course description from the Academic Calendar].  University teaching experience (course directorship, tutorial leadership not considered), evidence of explicit sociological orientation.						
If No, then the PTAC is not required to continue the evaluation.							

### b) Competency to teach the posted course (Maximum: 50)

CRITERIA		POSSIBLE SCORES	CANDIDATE'S SCORE	RATIONALE/COMMENTS		
b) Competency to teach posted course:	the	Maximum: 50	0			
•	i. currency and mastery of the					
subject matter						
		30-excellent				
		20-very good 15-good*				
		10-satisfactory				
		0-poor or no				
		evidence				
Appendix H Notes:	other di relevant	scipline-specific activities	can be considered ir d no assessment tool	xperience, scholarly activity, creative work, and n this category. Each assessment factor must be used to determine currency and mastery of the		
Sociology Notes:	•	*Within past 10 years				
	•	Relevant discipline speci	•			
				onference planning committee)		
		<ul> <li>Relevant community, NGO participation of more than 3 years (2 years?)</li> <li>Exclusions: SY101</li> </ul>				
	•	Course directorship in a		ent over 100		
	See Soci this cate		for Appendix H docui	ment for additional notes for each point score in		
ii. Previous teaching or t	utorial					
or lab experience in the	•					
or similar or substantial	ly	Maximum: 10				
similar course(s) - total						
seniority points to a max		L BTAC III LCII				
Appendix H Notes:				ority points in the posted course or substantially		
		r course and may award partial points for similar or substantially similar courses taught elsewher I points may also be awarded for relevant teaching in another delivery mode (e.g., tutorials, labs,				
		taught at Laurier or elsew		g another denter, mode (e.g., caterials, laze,		
Sociology Notes:	•	Sociology's Admin will p	re-populate the Appo	endix H with any seniority points.		
	•	Half seniority points are when applying for an in-	re to be awarded for teaching the same course in OC format ntramural course.			
	Half seniority points ar same OC course.		re awarded for an intramural course when applying for the			
	•	TA experience is not rele	evant when assessing	g teaching experience (0 points).		
	See Sociology's PTAC Guidelines exclusions/substantially similar of		s for Appendix H document for a list of Laurier course courses.			
				tantially similar status will only be declared if a ransfer credit for the class (e.g., SY332, not SY3xx).		
				ociology Pubric for Appendix H. May 2024 2		

iii. Ability to perform the of the course	e duties Maximum	: 10			
Appendix H Notes:	to teach in a specific	format (e.g., with specifi	lecture, lab, tutorial c equipment or platf	ility, from courses taught at Laurier or elsewhere, , seminar) or modality (e.g., online, hybrid), orms; and to develop course materials including o SY guidelines	
Sociology Notes:	Assessment	Points	_		
	Good	10			
	Satisfactory	5			
	Unsatisfactory	0			
	<ul> <li>5 points aw delivery of i practice.</li> </ul>	varded to ap nnovative su	ch assessments, grou	evidence of the development or ups exercises, or other pedagogical	
Appendix H Notes:	Assessment of the candidate's competency to teach the posted course shall be based on the candidate's CV, application, and any other materials listed as optional in the job posting and information submitted by the candidate.				
	Similar courses (determined by the PTAC) may be defined as experience in similar courses taught at Laurier or elsewhere, and may also include TA experience, Online teaching, and team-teaching.				
	exclusion or a course	that encom	passes substantially s	that appears in the Academic Calendar as a course similar subject matter with the same or similar are identified by the Department.	
If the score after b) is le	ess than 25, then the	PTAC is no	t required to conti	nue the evaluation.	

## c) Teaching qualifications not specific to the course (Maximum: 30)

CRITERIA		POSSIBLE SCORES	CANDIDATE'S	RATIONALE/COMMENTS
			SCORE	
c) Teaching qualifications		Maximum: 30	0	
(not specific to the				
course):				
i. teaching-related				
experience (seniority	,			
points not already		Maximum: 15		
counted in section b)	ii),			
including courses tau	ıght,			
tutorials, labs, trainir	ng			
Appendix H Notes:	A. tota	I WLU seniority points, other t	han in the posted	course or a substantially similar course (only include
	seniority points not already counted i			ax 15 points)
	B. If A is less than 15:			
	i. P	artial points for courses or tuto	orials and labs taug	ght elsewhere (max 5 points)

		teaching training (including but not limited to training related to pedagogy, equity, diversity, sivity, Indigeneity, anti-racism, anti-oppression, and accessible learning) (max 5 points)					
Sociology Notes:	•	Sociolo	ogy's Admin will pre-	populate the A	ppendix H with any seniority points.		
		1 noint	ner unrelated cours	a 05 per tuto	ial taught elsewhere (maximum of 5 points)		
	•				eted as a participant (maximum of 5 points)		
ii. Assessment of tead	_	45					
skills, including teach related transferrable	0	15-very 10-goo	_				
demonstrated outsid		5-satisf					
teaching context			or no evidence				
teaching context		- p					
Appendix H Notes:		The assessment of the candidate's teaching experience shall be based on the candidate's CV, student course surveys under Article 19, or the equivalent from another institution, and any other information submitted by the candidate. No assessment of teaching qualifications may rely exclusively or primarily on student questionnaires or student opinions.					
Sociology Notes:		The final score of 15 very good/10 good/5 satisfactory/0 poor or no evidence shall be determined by combining the assessments of parts A and B below. See part C for the table to combine the scores to get the final outcome. Example: a candidate receives an 'Excellent' in part A and a 'good' in part B. Combined in part C, the final score would be a good (10).					
		A. Assessment of Student Evaluation Scores (used under C.2)  Category Recent Scores Interpretation					
				(WLU) Mode			
			Excellent	7			
			Good	6			
			Satisfactory	4-5			
			Unsatisfactory	Below 4	No teaching evaluation data or recent scores at WLU or elsewhere are mostly well below relevant norms.		
		Only evaluations in the last 5 years are to be included. Evaluations with fewer than 5 respondents and less than a 20% response rate are excluded.			an		
		B. Assessment of Teaching Materials and other evidence of teaching skill (used unde				under C.2)	
		Category			Interpretation		
		Excellent Materials			Materials are clear and provide evidence the		
		(must have at least 3 of the criteria)			3 instructor has:  1. is teaching relevant and up to date content, and		
		of the Citeria)			de effective attempts to engage and		
					llenge students, and		
				3 Tea	Teaching related publication or leadership in		
					agogy workshop facilitation or training)		

	<ul><li>4. has been involved in curriculum development within their course or contributed to program curriculum development.</li><li>5. Teaching award.</li></ul>		
Good Materials	Materials are clear and provide evidence the		
(must have at least 2)	instructor has:		
	1. is teaching relevant and up to date content, and		
	2. made effective attempts to engage and		
	challenge students.		
	3. Teaching related publication or leadership in		
	pedagogy workshop facilitation or training		
	4. has been involved in curriculum development		
	within their course or contributed to program		
	curriculum development.		
	5. Teaching award or nomination		
Satisfactory Materials	Materials are clear and provide evidence the		
	instructor:		
	$\left(1\right)$ is teaching relevant and up to date content.		
Unsatisfactory	No Materials provided. Or, provided materials are		
Materials	unclear and/or do not		
	provide evidence the instructor is teaching relevant or		
	up to date content.		

 $\underline{\text{Note}}$ : teaching materials can include the teaching dossier or other info submitted by candidate.

C. Assessment of Overall Teaching Record Matrix

C. Assessifient of					
B. Teaching	A. Teaching Evaluation Scores				
Skills (other)	Excellent	Good Satisfactory		Unsatisfactory	None
Excellent	Very	Good			Very Good
	Good			Unsatisfactory	
Good	Good	Satisfactory			Good
Satisfactory				Satisfactory	
Unsatisfactory	Unsatisfactory Unsatisfact			Unsatisfactory	
No Evidence	No Evidence				

If the total score after b) and c) is less than 40, then the PTAC is not required to continue the evaluation.

### d) Other qualifications and experience relevant to the course

CRITERIA	POSSIBLE SCORES	CANDIDATE'S SCORE	RATIONALE/COMMENTS
d) Other relevant qualifications	Maximum: 20	0	

Examples: see "Appendix H Notes"					
	20-very good 15-good 10-satisfactory 5-limited 0-no evidence				
Appendix H Notes:	Qualifications and experience under this section must be directly relevant to the course advertised. A candidate's CV, candidate application, and any other relevant materials provided by the candidate may be considered in this category. Indicate the candidate's qualifications and experience.  i. additional degrees or professional qualifications ii. community engagement iii. development of educational materials iv. equity, diversity, and inclusion experience v. Indigenous knowledge systems vi. pedagogical development vii. post-doctoral experience				
Sociology Notes:	<ul> <li>viii. professional development and/or experience</li> <li>Qualifications considered above:         <ul> <li>community engagement (0 points): points already granted under B1</li> <li>professional development and/or experience (0 points): points already granted under B1</li> <li>development of educational materials (0 points): points already granted under B1</li> </ul> </li> <li>Qualifications not considered above:         <ul> <li>PhD in area of course (max 5 points automatically awarded)</li> <li>additional degrees or professional qualifications (beyond PhD in previous item)</li> <li>Indigenous knowledge systems</li> <li>post-doctoral experience</li> <li>equity, diversity, and inclusion experience</li> <li>pedagogical development (workshops attended as participant, certificates earned)</li> </ul> </li></ul>				
TOTAL SCORE	Maximum: 100	0			
If the total score after b), c), and d)	s less than 50, then the	e PTAC is not re	quired to recommend the candidate for the		

course.

### e) Optional

#### e) Optional

- i. Comments for the Dean's consideration:
- ii. Comments on Student Course Surveys:

#### e) Comments for the Dean's consideration:

Awarding of a course is subject to a Member's success in meeting the duties and responsibilities in Article 16. In this section, the PTAC may submit comments or express concerns regarding the candidate. If the Member has failed to maintain a record of satisfactory teaching and/or has failed to satisfy all requirements under Article 16, it is expected that there will be evidence that progressive consultations with the Member have failed to address concerns.

Has the PTAC identified an anomaly or trend in student course survey results that has caused concern? If yes, provide details, including evidence of consultations with the Member, if applicable.

When two or more candidates with the highest point totals have equal points under Appendix H, the PTAC will inform the Dean that the assessment under Appendix H has resulted in a tie and will send the names of all candidates with equal points to the Dean. The Dean will determine who will receive the offer according to the following procedures:

If two or more internal candidates have equal points under Appendix H:

- i. The Member who has taught the posted course before shall be ranked higher.
- ii. If this is insufficient to determine the appointment, a candidate who has self-identified as a member of an equity-deserving group (Indigenous, racialized, female, having a disability, and/or a sexual or gender minority), shall be ranked higher.
- iii. If this is insufficient to determine the appointment, the Member with the most total seniority points shall be ranked higher.
- iv. If this is insufficient to determine the appointment, the Dean shall make the appointment from among these candidates by lot.

If two or more external candidates have equal points under Appendix H:

- i. The candidate who has self-identified as a member of an equity-deserving group (Indigenous, racialized, female, having a disability, and/or a sexual or gender minority), shall be ranked higher.
- ii. If this is insufficient to determine the appointment, the candidate who has taught a similar course elsewhere most recently shall be ranked higher.
- iii. If this is insufficient to determine the appointment, the Dean shall make the appointment from among these candidates by lot.

When comparing the highest-ranking internal candidate with the highest-ranking external candidate, both of whom have the same number of points under Appendix H:

- i. The candidate who has self-identified as a member of an equity-deserving group (Indigenous, racialized, female, having a disability, and/or a sexual or gender minority), shall be ranked higher.
- ii. If this is insufficient to determine the appointment, the top ranked internal candidate shall be ranked higher.